

City of San Leandro

Meeting Date: November 5, 2012

Staff Report

File Number: 12-529 Agenda Section: ACTION ITEMS

Agenda Number: 10.B.

TO: City Council

FROM: Chris Zapata

City Manager

BY: Chris Zapata

City Manager

FINANCE REVIEW: Not Applicable

TITLE: Review of Proposal from Alameda County Medical Center for a Three-Year

Financial commitment from the City of San Leandro, Combined with Financial Contributions from the County of Alameda and Eden Township Healthcare District, to Prevent the Potential Closure of San Leandro Hospital and to Maintain the Facility as an Acute Care Hospital with 24 Hour, 7 Days a Week Emergency Services under the Ownership and Operation of the Alameda

County Medical Center

This staff report is to provide information as you consider assisting in the continued service provided by San Leandro Hospital in our community.

BACKGROUND

San Leandro Hospital (SLH) has been under duress for an extended time due to financial and legal challenges. As this is a critical community service and economic activity generator, the San Leandro City Council formed an Ad-Hoc Committee consisting of Mayor Cassidy, Vice Mayor Gregory and Councilmember Reed to assess the current situation.

The Ad-Hoc Committee held public meetings and stakeholder meetings to gain information in a number of areas. In October, the Ad-Hoc Committee reported out to the City Council along with representatives of the Eden Township Healthcare District. The City Council asked a number of questions such as:

- Financial amount needed to keep SLH running with current services?
- What other stakeholders have been asked to participate besides Eden Township?
- Proposed timelines for decisions by potential participants?
- Implementation/impact and potential success of a local parcel tax?
- Potential expansion plans and 4th floor usage of facility?

The City Council also requested a public discussion on a potential business plan with

File Number: 12-529

Alameda County Medical Center (ACMC) Chief Executive Officer Wright Lassiter at the November 5 Council meeting.

Eden Township District, Alameda County Supervisor Wilma Chan, and ACMC CEO Wright Lassiter have been working diligently with the Ad-Hoc Committee over the past six months to provide information and potential solutions to this long standing community conundrum.

DISCUSSION

The impact of SLH as a vital service provider and economic asset to the community is understood. As such, the analysis of a potential short-term financial commitment is made with that in mind.

The City Charter and Municipal Code of the City, as well as the City Council, determine activities and investment in the community. The City of San Leandro provides services to the community and region via a number of departments and programs such as public safety, infrastructure, library and recreation services. The City also provides for planning and development, as well as maintenance of facilities. Internally there are a number of areas for which the City is responsible such as finances, record keeping, and compliance with various local, state and federal laws.

The City Council adopts an annual budget which provides a revenue and expenditure plan for these activities, as well as prudent oversight of taxpayer dollars. In addition, the City Council holds regular meetings for public involvement in government including an annual planning session to set goals for the year which drive the formation of the fiscal year budget.

At the February 2012 Planning Session, the City Council adopted six goals after receiving financial and department information. The financial data provided to the Council projected difficult budget environments going forward due to an uncertain economic environment, temporary nature of Measure Z and rising employee costs. The department information showed an increased demand for basic services that created a significant challenge due to 70 less employees in the organization. It is important to note that without Measure Z, which provides \$4,000,000 annually and the attrition of 90 employees, San Leandro's structural deficit which was projected at approximately \$2,000,000 per year would be exponentially greater.

Also in February of 2012, San Leandro and cities across California were faced to deal with the loss of redevelopment due to state laws and court rulings affirming those laws. This resulted in approximately \$14,000,000 in revenue leaving San Leandro's municipal budget.

As a result of the City Council goals, direction has been given to staff to implement an annual budget in 2012/2013 that deals with the day to day project and service needs, as well as achieves sustainability. Sustainability is increasingly difficult to obtain given economic uncertainty globally and nationally.

Regarding potential participation in a partnership to keep SLH, the City has much uncertainty of its own, large commitments and many unmet needs. Direct City participation in health care facility financing is a rarely traveled area for local governments. No city government in Alameda County provides direct financial support to health care providers in their respective

File Number: 12-529

communities. Parcel taxes in Alameda to fund the health care facility do not rely on City of Alameda funding. Unlike the concept approval to provide a \$1.5 million dollar loan to the San Leandro Unified School District, there would be no payback to the City of San Leandro in the proposed hospital transfer to Alameda County Medical Center. The financial participation, if directed, would be taken from the City's reserve funds which saw a significant decline over the past five years and was halted last year by placing \$600,000 in at Council direction.

The City of San Leandro has also chosen to invest in technology and innovation as part of its sustainability initiative and has been successful via its private public partnership with Dr. Patrick Kennedy. Kaiser's investment in San Leandro will provide a major service and economic impact when open in 2014, support for San Leandro and San Lorenzo schools continues. However a backlog of unmet needs in roads, facilities, community maintenance and diminished programs create real challenges. Further, longstanding uncertain legal matters cloud San Leandro's financial future. Balancing these factors in a manner that benefits the community will be problematic without new resources and stable revenue.

RECOMMENDATION

Due to the many unmet community and organization needs, as well as economic uncertainty, I cannot recommend support for a financial subsidy to SLH.

The City Council has the authority to direct staff to work with stakeholders as well as to make financial commitments. My recommendation is intended to provide full disclosure that should the City Council provide direction to work toward a financial package in support of keeping SLH as is for the next three years, your goal of fiscal sustainability and basic city programs and services will continue to be significantly challenged by this new initiative.

ATTACHMENTS

San Leandro Hospital Utilization 2011

PREPARED BY: Chris Zapata, City Manager

San Leandro Hospita	-				
		Inpatient			•
	Number of ED	Admitted	Other	Total Number	
Zip	Visits	through ED	Inpatient	of Visits	_
94501	150	29	23	202	Alameda
94541	886	154	49	1,089	Cherryland/Hayward
94542	46	6	5	57	Hayward/Castro Valley
94544	413	56	19	488	Hayward
94545	139	35	12	186	Hayward
94546	240	45	35	320	Castro Valley
94551	45	4	2	51	Livermore
94560	49	4	2	55	Newark
94577	3,530	613	61	4,204	San Leandro
94578	3,044	351	67	3,462	San Leandro
94579	889	258	43	1,190	San Leandro
94580	738	152	24	914	San Lorenzo
94587	109	14	7	130	Union City
94601	669	62	3	734	Oakland
94602	237	44	4	285	Oakland
94603	3,576	489	37	4,102	Oakland
94605	2,125	240	17	2,382	Oakland
94606	273	20	3	296	Oakland
94607	235	19	4	258	Oakland
94608	157	11	2	170	Oakland
94609	123	6	2	131	Oakland
94610	55	1	2	58	Oakland
94612	165	13	3	181	Oakland
94619	200	24	3	227	Oakland
94621	2,447	295	17	2,759	Oakland
All other Zip Codes	490	65	27	582	
Total	21,030	3,010	473	24,513	<u>-</u>

San Leandro Hospital Utilization, Total Number of ED and Inpatients Visits, 2011.

		Inpatient			
	Number of ED	Admitted	Other	Total Number	
City or Place	Visits	through ED	Inpatient	of Visits	% of Total Visits
Alameda	171	38	30	239	1.0%
Albany	3	0	0	3	0.0%
Ashland	1,245	162	30	1,438	5.9%
Berkeley	158	9	1	168	0.7%
Castro Valley	790	115	50	955	3.9%
Cherryland	214	37	12	263	1.1%
Dublin	44	1	2	47	0.2%
Emeryville	56	4	1	61	0.2%
Fairview	138	24	8	169	0.7%
Fremont	110	14	3	127	0.5%
Hayward	1,011	169	59	1,239	5.1%
Livermore	71	7	4	82	0.3%
Newark	49	4	2	55	0.2%
Oakland	10,256	1,230	101	11,588	47.3%
Piedmont	13	2	1	16	0.1%
Pleasanton	30	7	2	39	0.2%
San Leandro	5,905	1,042	137	7,084	28.9%
San Lorenzo	592	120	20	732	3.0%
Sunol	2	0	0	2	0.0%
Union City	109	14	7	130	0.5%
Remainder of County	61	11	4	75	0.3%
Total	21,030	3,010	473	24,513	100.0%